	UNITED ST	ATES DIST	TRICT COURT	
		District of	Delaware	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
(V. Curtis White a/k/a Lacy White Defendant		DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL C 606-77 - SLL.	
In a detentio	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearin	g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
4-		art I—Findings of l		
	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a			
			two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment			
☐ (4	for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
	A	Alternative Findings ((A)	
X (1	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
X (2			at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ty.	
		Alternative Findings ((B)	
,	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang 	•	er person or the community	
(2	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang		er person of the continuinty.	
		1 Statement of Reas		
derance		ention at this time, but	reserved the right to have his detention reviewed at a later	
time. Tl	hat reservation was granted by the court. at this time, a	bsent the non-oppositi	on, defendant's detention is warranted because:	

- 1. Defendant's criminal history begins in 1995 when defendant was 14 years old.
- 2. Defendant was convicted in 2003 for carrying a concealed deadly instrument, shoplifting and assault 3rd. Although receiving probation for these offenses, defendant was found in VOP on two occasions for these crimes.
- 3. At the time of his arrest, defendant was pending sentencing on an assault 2d and possession of a deadly weapon by a prohibited person. Defendant pled guilty on June 14, 2006.

Defendant's obvious fascination with weapons and drugs clearly shows that he is a danger to the community, Further, his VOP history shows that he is not amenable to supervision.



Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

September 11, 2006	Tool Marin
Date	Signature of Judicial Officer
	Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).